

# Request for City Council Committee Action From the Department of Public Works

Date April 22, 2003

To: Honorable Sandra Colvin Roy, Chair, Transportation and Public Works Committee

Refer to: Honorable Barbara Johnson, Chair, Ways and Means Committee

Subject: Contract composting/disposal for leaves from Fall Sweeping, Street Sweepings and

Composting/Disposal of Yardwastes and bundled brush.

#### **Previous Directives:**

Staff was directed to issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) for cost-effective and environmentally responsible disposal services so that uninterrupted Street Sweeping by the Division of Field Services and seasonal Yard Wastes collection by the Division of Solid Waste & Recycling could continue.

April 8, 2003, staff was directed to ask the proposers to clarify certain questions, and to provide the City with their best and final proposals.

**Recommendation:** That the appropriate City Officials be directed to enter into a contract with the City of Hutchinson for the composting/disposal of Fall Street-collected leaves and seasonal yard

wastes, for a period from May, 2003 through December, 2007. Prepared by: Michael Kennedy, Division of Field Services 673-3759

Susan Young, Division of Solid Waste and Recycling 673-2443

Approved: Klara A. Fabry, Director of Public Works, City Engineer

Presenters: Susan Young, Michael Kennedy

Financial Impac	t (Check those that apply)
X No financial in	npact (If checked, go directly to Background/Supporting Information)
	ources are contained within the Solid Waste & Recycling, and Sewer
Enterprise Fu	
•	es an appropriation increase to the Capital Budget
	es an appropriation increase to the Operating Budget
	les increased revenue for appropriation increase
	es use of contingency or reserves
	al impact (Explain):

The Division of Field Services conducts fall street-leaf collection and sweeping. The Division of Solid Waste and Recycling conducts seasonal yard wastes collections. The Divisions cooperated on a Joint Request For Proposals (RFP) for composting/disposal of these similar materials, to achieve efficiency in the procurement process and to obtain most competitive proposals. Two proposals were received, a joint proposal from the City of Hutchinson and Oak Creek Resources, and a proposal from NRG Processing Solutions (NRGPS). As a result of Committee discussions on April 8, additional technical information and "best and final" price information was requested from both Proposers. The cost information for the proposals and a comparison of key criteria is contained in the Appendix.

There is a concern by City staff that the North Transfer Station may not be able to handle both the Fall Leaf stream from Field Operations, and the heavy Fall stream from Solid Waste and Recycling, resulting in long delivery delays by City trucks which would adversely affect City sweeping costs. The City of Hutchinson does not believe that a problem will exist. Guarantees similar to the provisions for City deliveries in the HERC contract, but with specific monetary penalties, will be included in a contract. There is a concern by the City that economic assumptions by the City of Hutchinson may be optimistic. The City of Hutchinson is confident that their economic assumptions are valid. Standard City contracts provide performance and payment bond protections for the City.

It is anticipated that a discussion of the long-range plans of the City for both the North and South Transfer Stations will occur between now and June 2003. Use of the North Transfer Station for this contract period will not preclude other long term City uses for the site and will allow effective use of this City asset in the interim.

The City also wishes to further discuss and evaluate the Alternative for street sweepings proposed by Hutchinson. This proposal for "recycling" sweepings is a completely new way of doing business and requires further study due to its complexity. It is unknown at this time if the end product would be a suitable or equal product for use as winter sand for snow and ice control. We would like to discuss with Hutchinson a pilot program to test the feasibility of recycling our street sweepings. At this time, there are too many unknowns to enter into a long-term contract.

Staff recommends that a contract for composting/disposal of Fall Leaves and for composting/disposal of Yard Wastes and bundled brush be negotiated with the City of Hutchinson, for the period May 2003 – December 2007.

Appendix: Summary of Comparisons

## **APPENDIX** SUMMARY OF COMPARRISON **FALL LEAF AND YARD WASTE PROPOSALS APRIL 16, 2003 REVISED PROPOSALS**

#### I. FALL LEAVES, FIELD OPERATIONS

CRITERIA	"HUTCHINSON"	"NRGPS"

Disposal/End use Compost Compost

North Transfer Station Drop off location Malcolm Facility

Loading conditions Drop off at Transfer Station by Drop off at Transfer

City crews, handling and transfer Station by City crews. by Hutchinson loading and transfer

by NRGPS

Compost/disposal cost \$15.97/ton \$20.00/ton

CPI adjustment/year None for initial contract None

Fuel surcharge Contingent on NRGPS

Submittal, assume none

**TOTAL for FALL LEAVES** \$15.97/ton \$20.00/ton

Est. Average annual expenditure: \$164,500 \$206,000

There is a concern by City staff that the North Transfer Station may not be adequately sized to accommodate both the Fall leaf stream from Field Services, and the heavy Fall stream from Solid Waste and Recycling. The large traffic volume of both City and Hutchinson trucks accessing the site simultaneously could result in long delays for City trucks that would adversely affect City costs. The City of Hutchinson does not believe that a problem will exist. Guarantees similar to the provisions for City deliveries in the H.E.R.C. contract, but with specific monetary penalties, will be included in a contract. There is a concern by the City that economic assumptions by the City of Hutchinson may be optimistic. The City of Hutchinson is confident that their economic assumptions are valid. Standard City contracts provide performance and payment bond protections for the City. Use of the North Transfer Station for this contract will not preclude other long term City uses for the site.

### II. STREET SWEEPINGS, FIELD OPERATIONS

<u>CRITERIA</u> <u>"HUTCHINSON"</u> <u>"NRGPS"</u>

Alternative 1

Disposal/End Use Disposal Recycling/Compost

Drop off location Hutchinson, Creekside Facility Malcolm Facility

Loading conditions Loading by City, transportation

by City from City transfer site handling by City

Drop off, no further

to be determined

City loading/transportation costs \$18.00/ton None

Disposal Cost \$23.24/ton \$37.00/ton

TOTAL for Alternative 1 \$41.24/ton \$37.00/ton

Est. Average annual expenditure: \$618,600 \$555,000

Alternative 2

Disposal/End Use Recycle/disposal As above

Drop off location Linden Yards

Loading conditions

City loading costs

Recycling Cost

TOTAL for Alternative 2 Unknown \$37.00/ton (as above)

It is recommended that neither of these proposals be accepted. The City presently hauls sweepings to a landfill, with a "no-cost" tipping (disposal) fee where it is reused as daily landfill cover. Therefore, the only cost is loading and transport, which is approximately \$18.00/ton. However, the City wishes to further discuss and evaluate the Alternative 2 by Hutchinson. Alternative 2 is a completely new way of doing business and requires further study due to its complexity. At present, the Linden Yards is not suitable as a sweepings recycling site due to lack of space and supporting infrastructure (storm and sanitary sewer, and water) as well as neighborhood issues. It is unknown at this time if the end product would be a suitable or equal product for use as winter sand for snow and ice control. We would like to discuss with Hutchinson a pilot program to test the feasibility of recycling our street sweepings. At this time, there are too many unknowns to enter into a long term contract.

#### III. YARD WASTES AND BRUSH, SOLID WASTE AND RECYCLING

<u>CRITERIA</u> <u>"HUTCHINSON"</u> <u>"NRGPS"</u>

Disposal/end use Compost, Heat and Energy Compost

Drop Off location City North Transfer Station NRGPS Transfer Station

at Malcolm Facility
Operation by NRGPS

City Loading Costs None None

Composting Costs \$31.00/ton \$34.00/ton

Operation by Hutchinson

TOTAL \$31.00/ton \$34.00/ton

Est. Average

**Loading Conditions** 

annual expenditure: \$558,000 \$612,000

Compostable bag option: Available, \$21.00/ton Available, \$27.00/ton

Pilot proposed, 1,000 bags Provided by NRGPS, cost of composting to be determined

Contamination of 10% Contamination of 15%

acceptable acceptable

Organics composting

available?

Yes

Yes

Voucher program Yes, \$31.00/ton Yes, \$34.00/ton

available? Also willing to provide neighborhood

dropoff locations, 2X Spring, 3X Fall

Finished Compost Yes \$6.50/yd Yes \$6.50/yd

Available? City-sponsored Community

Gardens will be provided 200 cubic yards

of finished compost at no charge.

IV. LOG AND BRUSH PROGRAM

Available No proposal

There is a concern by City staff that the North Transfer Station may not be adequately sized to accommodate both the Fall leaf stream from Field Services, and the heavy Fall stream from Solid Waste and Recycling. The large traffic volume of both City and Hutchinson trucks accessing the site simultaneously could result in long delays for City trucks that would adversely affect City costs. The City of Hutchinson does not believe that a problem will exist. Guarantees similar to the provisions for City deliveries in the H.E.R.C. contract, but with specific monetary penalties, will be included in a contract. There is a concern by the City that economic assumptions by the City of Hutchinson may be optimistic. The City of Hutchinson is confident that their economic assumptions are valid. Standard City contracts provide performance and payment bond protections for the City. Use of the North Transfer Station for this contract will not preclude other long term City uses for the site.